

Development of Hydrogel Implants for the Sustained Delivery of Adeno-Associated Viruses in Ocular Gene Therapy

Steven Lu, PhD; Meryem Pehlivaner, PhD; Charles D. Blizzard; Rami El-Hayek, PhD; Peter K. Jarrett, PhD; Michael H. Goldstein, MD

BACKGROUND

- Ocular gene therapy studies have demonstrated dose-dependent inflammation that can reduce efficacy and lead to dose-limiting toxicity¹⁻⁴
- A sustained-release modality of AAVs in the eye could maintain lower vector concentration over time, leading to reduced inflammation and improved safety outcomes⁵, while still providing a high total dose
- In the present work, we evaluated a novel, hydrogelbased, biodegradable implant for sustained release of encapsulated AAVs (Figure A)
- AAV implants are small, solid rods designed to be injected through a needle into the vitreous
- Designed to deliver AAV for up to 1 month

PURPOSE

- 1) To assess the compatibility of AAVs following hydrogel processing
- 2) To assess the feasibility for AAV implants to transduce in vivo in a rat model

METHODS

Cell Infectivity Assay

- Serotypes commonly used in ocular gene therapies were lyophilized and mixed with hydrogel precursors to simulate gel encapsulation conditions and compared to stock AAV solutions as a (+) control
- AAV2-, AAV2.7m8-, and AAV8-CMV-eGFP were cultured with HEK293T cells at an MOI of 1E+5, 1E+4, and 1E+6, respectively, for 48 hours
- To assess AAV infectivity, % GFP+ cells and MFI were measured via flow cytometry

In Vitro Release Kinetics

- Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) (26-30nm) were utilized as surrogates to screen hydrogel formulations due to a similar size to AAVs (25nm)
- Degradation rate of hydrogel implants were modulated to tune AuNP release kinetics • Implants were submersed in PBS (pH 7.2) and sampled over 28 days to assess release via UV/Vis (AuNP) or an ELISA kit (AAV)

Rodent Study Design

- Sprague-Dawley rat eyes were injected bilaterally with 10uL bolus of AAV2-CMV-Luc or with a single AAV implant (Table 1)
- Luminescence intensity was measured over 1 month using an In Vivo Imaging System

Table 1. Experimental Groups

Group	Dose (GC/eye)	Number of Animals
AAV Bolus – Low Dose	2.5E+09	N=3
AAV Bolus – High Dose	1.2E+10	N=3
AAV Implant – Low Dose	6.2E+09	N=4
AAV Implant – High Dose	2.5E+10	N=4

Disclosures: All authors are employees of Ocular Therapeutix, Inc. Abbreviations: AAV, Adeno-associated virus; AuNP, gold nanoparticle; CMV, cytomegalovirus; HEK293T, Human Embryonic Kidney; GC, genome copies; eGFP, enhanced green fluorescent intensity; MOI, multiplicity of infection; OCT, Optical Coherence Tomography; References: 1. Cukras C, et al. Mol Ther. 2018;26:2282-2294. 2. Timmers AM et al. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1887-1897. 4. Dimopoulos IS et al. Am J Ophthalmol. 2018;193:130-142. 5. Verdera HC et al. Mol Ther. 2020;28(3):723-746. **Presented at:** American Society of Gene and Cell Therapy Annual Meeting; May 16-19, 2022; Washington DC



RESULTS

AAVs Retained Infectivity Following Hydrogel Processing Conditions

- change in AAV infectivity (Figure C)



⁽⁺⁾ Control

Release of AAVs from Hydrogel Implant Can Be Controlled **Through Formulation Parameters**

- is achieved from 4 to 25 days (Figure D)
- hydrogel formulation (Figure E)



CONCLUSIONS

See Oral Presentation "Reduced Ocular Inflammation and Improved GFP Expression in Rabbits with Controlled Release of AAV from Degradable Hydrogel implants" (Thu, May 19 | Abstract # 1232)

• Percentage of GFP+ cells after hydrogel processing conditions was comparable to (+) control for each AAV serotype (Figure B)

• MFI log difference from the (+) control was ≤0.25 for each serotype and processing condition demonstrating minimal



Lyophilized

Gel Precursors

• AAV implant release kinetics was dictated by rate of hydrogel degradation and can be tuned so complete 100% release of AAV

• In all AAV implants, initial burst of release at Day 1 was minimal

• Release profile of AAVs was comparable to AuNPs from the same

Transduction in Rodent Eyes from AAV Hydrogel Implants was Comparable to AAV Bolus

- AAV implants



• AAVs incorporated into hydrogels retained infectivity and were capable of transducing ocular tissue in vivo Release of AAVs from hydrogels can be controlled via rate of hydrogel degradation • These data suggest that the use of a hydrogel platform for controlled delivery of AAVs in ocular gene therapy is feasible

• Luminescent intensity was comparable between AAV bolus and AAV implants at the same dose (Figure F)

• Larger animal models will be needed to better assess intravitreal

- Small size of rat eyes presented difficulty in accurate placement of AAV implants

- Out of 8 eyes for AAV implants groups, implants were

subconjunctival (n=1), subretinal (n=2), or intravitreal (n=5). Eyes with subconjunctival implants were excluded from analysis

> **Post-dose Visualization of IVT** Hydrogel Implant via OCT

